Sample Marking Using Rubric

Dr. AMBEDKAR INSTITUTE of MANAGEMENT STUDIES & RESEARCH, NAGPUR

	ROJECT REPORT ASSESSME	NT BY GUIDE Name Proficient(9-11Marks)	Apprentice(6-8Marks)	Novice (0-5Marks)
Criteria troduction troductory	discusses research f	Limited discussion of research ocus/purpose of research	◆Minimal discussion of research focus/purpose of research	•Little or no discussion of research focus/purpose of research
ragraph(s), erature view, ypotheses or opositions]	 Research focus is clearly grounded in previous research/ theoretically relevant literature Significance of the research is clearly identified (how it adds to previous research) Hypotheses/propositions are 	rounded in previous research/theoretically relevant iterature ♦ Significance of the research is	grounded in previous research theoretically relevant literature ◆ Significance of the research is pat clearly identified (how it	 Research focus not grounded in previous research/theoretically relevant literature Significance of the research is not identified (how it adds to previous research) Hypotheses/propositions are
		Description of how the data	not well articulated •Description of how the data	poorly articulated or are absent altogether •Description of how the data
esearch Iethods	description of how the data was collected, what/how many data sources were analyzed, plan of analysis or measurement instrument, research context	was collected, what/how many data sources were analyzed, plan of analysis or measurement instrument, research context is adequate but limited. Mention on how different situations may influence data collection and interpretation is adequate but limited	was collected, what/how many data sources were analyzed, plan of analysis or measurement instrument, research context is somewhat confusing/not clearly articulated. • Mention on how different situations may influence data collection and interpretation is limited and lacks insight	was collected, what/how many data sources were analyzed, plan of analysis or measurement instrument, research context is very confusing/not articulated sufficiently. • Mention on how different situations may influence data collection and interpretation is severely limited, lacks insight or is absent altogether
Results	 Results are clearly explained in a comprehensive level of detail and are well-organized Tables/figures clearly and concisely convey the data. Statistical analyses (if used) are appropriate tests and are accurately interpreted. 	 Results are explained but not as clearly, level of detail is not as sufficient, and there are some organizational issues Tables/figures are not as clear/concise in conveying the data. Statistical analyses (if used) are appropriate tests but are not accurately interpreted. 	 Results are not very clearly explained, level of detail is insufficient, and there are more organizational issues Tables/figures are not clear/concise in conveying the data. Statistical analyses (if used) are inappropriate tests and/or are not accurately interpreted. 	 Results are not clearly explained, level of detail is severely insufficient, and there are serious organizational issues Tables/figures are not clear/concise in conveying the data. Statistical analyses (if used are inappropriate tests and/date are not accurately interpreted.
Conclusions	 Interpretations/analysis of results are thoughtful and insightful, are clearly informed by the study's results, and thoroughly address how they supported, refuted, and/or informed the hypotheses/propositions Insightful discussion of how the study relates to and/or enhances the present scholarship in this area Suggestions for further research in this area are insightful and thoughtful 	is adequate. • Suggestions for further research in this area area adequate.	adequately address how they supported, refuted, and/or informed the hypotheses/propositions • Discussion of how the study relates to and/or enhances the present scholarship in this area is limited. • Suggestions for further research in this area are very limited.	results, and do not address he they supported, refuted, and/ informed t hypotheses/propositions •Discussion of how the stu relates to and/or enhances t present scholarship in this au is severely limited and/ absent altogether. •Suggestions for furth research in this area severely limited and/or abs altogether.
Documentati oh of Sources, Quality of Sources	 Cites all data obtained from other sources. APA citation style is accurately used in both text and bibliography. Sources are all scholarly and clearly relate to the research focus. 	other sources. APA citation style is used in both text and bibliography. Sources are primarily scholarly and relate to the research focus.	 other sources. Citation style I either inconsistent or incorrect. Sources are not primarily scholarly and relate to the research focus but somewhat tangentially. 	 Sources disproportionately n scholarly and do not clear e relate to the research focus.
Spelling & Grammar Manuscript Format	 No spelling & gramman mistakes Title page has proper interformatting Used correct headings & subheadings consistently 	• Title page approximates # formatting	 Grammar mistakes Title page deviates a bit mon from CPD formatting Headings & subheadings less 	grammar mistakes • Title page completely devia from • formatting • Headings and subhead completely deviate f suggested formatting or absent altogether
Total Marks ou of 100	t 50	Signature of evaluator Guide: With dole	(Mr.	Specialization of candidate: FM

Note: The Guide should keep his Assessment Rubric ready and submit the same to panel of evaluators along with the hard copy of the Project Report at the time of viva-voce.